

Production Quality Assessment Form

This form should be used in conjunction with Lloyd's Register Type Approval procedure TA14 for initial or periodical assessment of the Place of Production.

	' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' ' '			
Control No.: OSL19	900153			
1. Manufacturer de	tails:			
Name:	Kongsberg Maritime A	AS		
Address:	Skonnertveien 1			
	N-7053 Ranheim			
2. Nominated cont				
Name:	Børge Håvard lenssen			
Position:	Senior Coordinator Lo			
Email:	Borge.havard.ienssend			
	ant (if different from abo	ove):		
Name:				
Address:				
4. Type of assessment.		Periodical		
5. Product:		6. Type Approval	7. DAD:	
		Renewal 18/20065		
8. Documents:				
	(for new manufacturer o			
	9001:2015 NEMKO. exp			
Other quality control accreditation DNV GL Presafe (POAN). IECEx. DNV GL				
LR Design Review including examined plans				
	es <i>www.km.konasbera.</i>		لعا	
		ity control management accre		
house quality contr	ol system by a LR survey	or is required and an audit re	port should be submitted	
for review.				
9. Product Design				
Product(s) are manufactured in accordance with examined plans				
Product(s) are manufactured in accordance with modified plans				
Modifications have been reviewed and accepted				
	re to be submitted for e			
We confirm that the	subject manufacturing	facilities have been inspected	and verified that a	
	•	ce to ensure that the product(•	
Remarks:		C (1	
Kemarks.				
Surveyor's name II	oyd's Register <u>stamp inc</u>	luding office and date:		
Surveyor s name, Li				
ARILD BACHK	t Lioya's Red	gister EMEA	06 Fine 2019	
A COURT OF STREET	Arild Bachk	Register		
	Oslo Office	3		
	mine	Decem OS) 190015.	2	

OSL 1900153



Production Quality Assessment Form

This form should be used in conjunction with Lloyd's Register Type Approval procedure TA14 for initial or periodical assessment of the Place of Production.

Control No.: OSL1900153	
10. Components / Material Control:	Yes
Are non-conforming, incoming components/materials segregated/marked?	
Have there been non-conforming, incoming components/materials?	
What actions have been taken? Give details of reject- or repair procedures followed.	
Rejected components are tagged, marked and stored in a designated area, away from	production.
Depending on type of anomaly defective products are repaired in-house, returned to	
11. Assembly / Construction, Inspection and Testing:	Yes
Are the in-house testing instruments calibrated?	
Have there been rejected in-house testing instruments?	
What actions have been taken? Give details of the procedures followed to investigate t	he
acceptability of products manufactured since previous calibration. <i>In-house calibratio</i>	
designated engineer and by 3 rd party test laboratories (typically Justervesenet, KIWA)	•
Are test- and inspection reports of finished components available?	
Have there been rejected components?	
What actions have been taken? Give details of reject- or repair procedures followed.	
12.Marking and Packaging: Are the products marked with suitable identification to ensure traceability? If not, what are the remedial actions agreed/taken?	Yes
Are the products protected with suitable packaging to avoid damage during	V D
If not, what are the remedial actions agreed/taken?	
13.Service Feedback:	Yes
Has there been service feedback for product failure or inadequate performance? If yes:	
- Full details are to be submitted for re-evaluating the Type Approval Certificate.	
- What are the remedial actions agreed/taken?	
Anomaly reports from service engineers and or equipment users are analysed and file	ed by
the product engineering department.	,